NSBDE PUBLIC COPY

7/18/2012 Board Meeting

STATE OF NEVADA BEFORE THE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS,

Complainant,

٧s.

VINCENT G. COLOSIMO, DMD

Respondent.

Case No. 10-02031

STIPULATION AND VOLUNTARY SURRENDER

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between VINCENT G. COLOSIMO, DMD, (hereinafter "Respondent"), by and through his counsel, DOMINIC P. GENTILE, ESQ., and THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS hereinafter "Board") by and through DISCIPLINARY SCREENING OFFICER BRADLEY ROBERTS, DDS, and the Board's legal counsel JOHN A. HUNT, ESQ., of the law firm of FOX ROTHSCHILD, LLP as follows:

- On March 23, 2010, Respondent reported to the Board, a category B felony plea in the State of Penusylvania to a charge of conspiracy to traffic a controlled substance.
- 2. On May 7, 2010, Deputy Director of the Board, Debra Shaffer notified Respondent that the Board had authorized an investigative complaint to determine whether Respondent had violated the provisions of NRS 631,3475.
- 3. On July 2, 2010, United States District Court Judge Joy Flowers Contiadjudicated Respondent guilty of, a category B felony, 21 USC Section 846, conspiracy to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute more than 3.5 kilograms but less than 5

Page 1

VGC VGC VG1 51587v1 11/16/10

DPG

N.S.B.D.E JUL 11 0 2012

12 13

10

11

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

22 23 24

25

26 27

2

For Rockethed II.P 380 Herord Hopker Pai July 500 Les Yagur, Novada 2018 P H

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26 27 kilograms of cocsine. Respondent was sentenced to serve 36 months, with a recommendation from the prosecutor for the Residential Drug abuse Program ("RDAP"), if respondent volunteers. The Court further recommended access to continuing education concerning dentistry, and that Respondent be allowed to perform dental hygienic work if possible while serving his sentence.

- 4. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Bradley Roberts, DDS, applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in Stat, Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1985); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners. 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P. 2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e) & NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, including any other subsequent civil action, finds there is substantial evidence that Respondent's category B felony conviction of conspiracy to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute more than 3.5 kilograms but less than 5 kilograms cocaine was in violation of NRS 631.3475(7).
- 5. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in Stat, Emp, Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P. 2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B,135(3)(e) & NRS 631,350(1), Respondent admits, but not for any other purpose, including any subsequent civil action, that Respondent's category B felony conviction of conspiracy to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute more than 3.5 kilograms but less than 5 kilograms of cocaine was in violation of NRS 631,3475(7).
- 6. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Bradley Roberts, DDS, applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in Stat, Emp, Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners. 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P. 2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(c) & NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, including any other subsequent civil action, finds there is substantial evidence that Respondent's addiction to occaine is in violation of NRS 631.3475(6).
- 7. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in Stat, Emp, Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see

Page 2

Fire Rephysical Life 3000 Horound Registe Parlemy Duke 500 Life Vosse, Nervale K1169 VGC VG1 51587v1 11/16/10

N.S.B.D.E. DPG | JUL | 1 0 2012

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P. 2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e) & NRS 631.350(1), Respondent admits, but not for any other purpose, including any subsequent civil action, that Respondent's addiction to cocaine is in violation of NRS 631.3475(6),

- 8. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, Disciplinary Screening Officer, Bradley Roberts, DDS, applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in Stat. Emp. Security v. Hilton Hotels. 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P. 2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(c) & NRS 631.350(1), but not for any other purpose, including any other subsequent civil action, finds there is substantial evidence that Respondent willfully made an applications for license renewal wherein Respondent made material sworn false statements that he was free from chemical substances that would have impaired his ability to perform as a licensee pursuant to NRS and NAC Chapters 631 in violation of NRS 631.395(7).
- 9. Applying the administrative burden of proof of substantial evidence as set forth in Stat, Emp, Security v. Hilton Hotels, 102 Nev. 606, 608, 729 P.2d 497, 498 (1986); and see Minton v. Board of Medical Examiners, 110 Nev. 1060, 881 P. 2d 1339 (1994), see also NRS 233B.135(3)(e) & NRS 631.350(1), Respondent admits, but not for any other purpose, including any subsequent civil action that Respondent willfully made applications for license renewal wherein Respondent made material sworn false statements that he was free from chemical substances that would have impaired his ability to perform as a licensee pursuant to NRS and NAC Chapters 631 in violation of NRS 631.395(7).
- 10. Based upon the limited investigation conducted to date, the preliminary findings of the Disciplinary Screening Officer, Dr. Bradley Roberts, DDS and the admissions contained in Paragraph 5, 7 & 9 the parties have agreed to resolve the investigation pursuant to the following disciplinary terms and conditions:
 - A. Pursuant to NRS 631.350(1) (b) Respondent's agrees his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada shall be voluntarily surrendered pursuant to the

Page 3

Fer. Bethrekfid LLP 300 Herred Brylin rains 820 500 Lin Valst, Hersch 19109 VGC VG1 51587vt 11/16/10

N.S.B.D.E.

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27 following terms and conditions:

- (i). Pursuant to NAC 631.160, Respondent absolutely and irrevocably voluntarily agrees to surrender his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada. Further upon execution of this Stipulation and Voluntary Surrender of License ("Stipulation") by Respondent, Respondent shall deliver to the Board the certificate of registration previously issued to him. In the event the Board does not adopt this Stipulation, the certificate of registration shall be returned to Respondent.
- (ii) Respondent two years after the date of release from Federal Prison may petition the Board to determine whether Respondent is eligible to submit a license application by examination pursuant to NRS 631.240. In addition to complying with all of the provisions contained in NRS 631 and NAC 631 to determine eligibility for licensure by examination Respondent must also complete the following:
 - (a) Reimburse the Board all costs, including investigative and attorney's fees, incurred by Board in connection with the above-captioned matter. See NRS 622.400. The Board's staff is directed to tally the costs and fees and to advise Respondent of the total amount due for such costs and fees.
 - (b) Pursuant to N.R.S. § 631.350(1)(c), Respondent

Page 4

For Rothickful LLP 5300 Hymred Hagher Farker, Sales 500 Lm Veget, Novemb 19169

VGC VGI 51587v1 11/16/10

DPG

N.S.B.D.E. JUL 11 0 2012

shall pay a fine to the Board of FIVE THOUSAND and XX/160 DOLLARS (\$5,000.00).

- (c) Pursuant to N.R.S. § 631.350(1)(1) Respondent shall reimburse any and all patients monies owed them, including but not necessarily limited to, those patients who have pre-paid for services to be rendered by Respondent but that said services have not been performed/provided.
- (d) Respondent shall physically deliver to the Board offices his certificate of licensure.
- (e) Respondent shall have provided his patients their respective dental records and other file documents as either earlier requested or upon request.
- (g) Respondent agrees to surrender his License No. CSXXXXX with the Nevada State Board of Pharmacy for Class II, Class III, Class III, Class IIIN, Class IV, and Class V controlled substances and during imposed federal probationary period.
- (e) Respondent's petition shall include, but not limited to documentation verifying Respondent has completed a drug rehabilitation program and mental evaluation by a

Page 5

Yes, Rochechild 1.129 1400 Howard Blabes Federa Sain 500 Lee Yagus, Nevala 1914

VGC VG1 51587v1 11/16/10

N.S.B.D.E. UUL |1 0 2012

DPG

licensed physician. Respondent further agrees whether the drug rehabilitation program is acceptable for eligibility for examination will be left to the sole discretion of the Board at the time the Respondent petitions the Board.

(f) Respondent agrees he shall submit to the Board any and all documentation regarding the terms of his probation entered into the Federal Court Case Number CR 10-1301. Respondent will also inform and provid a copy of this Stipulation to his Federal parole officer. Respondent shall also execute any documents necessary to authorize his Federal parole officer to forward copies of any reports and results—regarding Respondent's compliance or non-compliance during his term of Federal probation including but not limited to any and all test results of bodily fluids (hair, blood and urine) to detect the presence of illegal or non prescribed controlled substances.

CONSENT

- 11. Respondent has read all of the provisions contained in this Stipulation Agreement and agrees with them in their entirety.
- 12. Respondent is aware by entering into this Stipulation Agreement he is waiving certain valuable due process rights contained in, but not limited to, NRS 631, NAC 631, NRS 233B and NAC 233B.
- 13. Respondent expressly waives any right to challenge the Board for bias in deciding whether or not to adopt this Stipulation Agreement in the event this matter was to proceed to a full Board hearing.

Page 6

For Rechablid J.LP 3500 Howard Haden Parker July 500 Law Varner, Novedo 20159

26

27

VGC VG1 51587VI 11/16/10

DPG

N.S.B.D.E. JUL 11 0 2012

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 27 28 14. Respondent and the Board agree any statements and/or documentation made or considered by the Board during any properly noticed open meeting to determine whether to adopt or reject this Stipulation Agreement are privileged settlement negotiations and therefore such statements or documentation may not be used in any subsequent Board hearing or judicial review, whether or not judicial review is sought in either the State or Federal District Court.

15. Respondent has reviewed the Stipulation with his attorney, Dominic P. Gentile, Esq., who has explained each and every provision contained in this Stipulation to the Respondent.

16. Respondent acknowledges he is consenting to this Stipulation Agreement voluntarily, without coercion or duress and in the exercise of his own free will.

17. Respondent acknowledges no other promises in reference to the provisions contained in this Stipulation Agreement have been made by any agent, employee, counsel or any person affiliated with the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners.

18. Respondent acknowledges the provisions in this Stipulation Agreement contain the entire agreement between Respondent and the Board and the provisions of this Stipulation can only be modified, in writing, with Board approval.

19. Respondent agrees in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation Agreement he hereby waives any and all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of the provisions contained in the Stipulation.

20. Respondent and the Board agree none of the parties shall be deemed the drafter of this Stipulation Agreement. In the event this Stipulation Agreement is construed by a court of law or equity, such court shall not construe this Stipulation Agreement or any provision hereof against any party as the drafter of the Stipulation Agreement. The parties hereby acknowledge all parties have contributed substantially and materially to the preparation of this Stipulation Agreement.

21. Respondent specifically acknowledges by his signature herein and by his initials at the bottom of each page of this Stipulation Agreement, he has read and understands its terms and acknowledges he has signed and initialed of his own free will and without undue influence,

Page 7 of 9

VGC

VGI 51587vI 11/16/10

DPG

N.S.B.D.E. JUL 11 0 2012

For Boding 554 LLP 3000 Berned Higher Pating 5ths 500 Lat Vages, Novals 507 G

1:

12

13

15

16



coercion, duress, or intimidation.

- 22. Respondent acknowledges in consideration of execution of this adopted Stipulation Agreement, Respondent hereby releases, remises, and forever discharges the State of Nevada, the Board, and each of their members, agents, and employees in their individual and representative capacities, from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims, and demands whatsoever, known and unknown, in law or equity, that Respondent ever had, now has, may have, or claim to have against any or all of the persons or entities named in this section, arising out the Respondent's voluntary surrender of his license to practice dentistry in the State of Nevada.
- 23. Respondent acknowledges in the event the Board adopts this Stipulation Agreement, this Stipulation may be considered in any future Board proceeding(s) or judicial review, whether such judicial review is preformed by either the State or Federal District Court(s).
- 24. This Stipulation Agreement will be considered by the Board in an open meeting. It is understood and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation Agreement and, if the Stipulation Agreement is rejected by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This Stipulation Agreement will only become effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this Stipulation Agreement, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case and will become a public record and be reported to the National Practitioners Data Bank.

18 Dated: Achruary 1/2, 2011 19 Dated: Fathuary / 7/201: 20 BRADZEY ROBERTS, DDS, DSO JOHN A. HUNT, ESO Disciplinary Screening Officer Fox Rothschild, LLP 22 Board Counsel Dated: Pebruary ____, 2011 23 Dated: February /10, 2011 24 VINCENT G. COLOSIMO, DMD TILE, ESO. 25 Respondent Attorney for Respondent 26 27

Page 8 of 9

For Robinship LLP 3103 Howard Higher Parket Sales 303 Low Venne, Neverle 20160

28

VGC

VGI 51587vi 11/16/10

DPG

N.S.B.D.E. JUL 11 0 2012 02/11/2011 09:16 FAX 2/11/2017 12:32 FAX

13

12

14

15

16

2008/010 ₩008/010

cocroion, duress, or intimidation.

- Respondent asknowledges in consideration of execution of this adopted Stipulation Agreement, Respondent hereby releases, remises, and forever discharges the State of Nevada, the Board, and each of their members, agonu, and employees in their individual and representative capacities, from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims, and demands whatsoever, known and unknown, in law or equity, that Respondent ever had, now has, may have, or claim to have against any or all of the persons or entitles named in this section, arising out the Respondent's voluntary surrender of his license to practice dentistry in the State of Novede.
- Respondent acknowledges in the event the Board adopts this Supulation Agreement, this Stipulation may be considered in any furnre Board proceeding(s) or judicial review, whether such judicial review is preformed by either the State or Pederal District Court(s).
- This Stipulation Agreement will be considered by the Board in an open meeting, It is understood and stipulated the Board is free to accept or reject the Stipulation Agreement and, if the Stipulation Agreement is rejected by the Board, further disciplinary action may be implemented. This Stipulation Agreement will only become effective when the Board has approved the same in an open meeting. Should the Board adopt this Stipulation Agreement, such adoption shall be considered a final disposition of a contested case and will become a public record and he reported to the National Practitioners Data Bank.

18 Dated: February ____ 2011 Dated: February ____ 2011 19 20 BRADLEY ROBERTS, DDS, DSQ JOHN A HUNT, ESQ. 21 Disciplinary Screening Officer Fox Rothschild, LLP Board Counsel 22 stedy Pebruary 10, 2011 Dated: February ____ 2011 VINCENT G. COLOSIMO, DMD DOMINIC P. GENTILE, ESQ. 23 Respondent Attempty for Respondent 26 27 Page # of 9 VOC

Fire Robbyokted C.C.P 2009 billional Hope & Perform Bulka · Vest, North 27 49

YOJ 51587v1 11/16/10

DPG

JUL 11 0 2012

.•	,	
	The foregoing Stipulation was	
	Approved Disapproved	
	by 2 vote of the Nevada State Board of Dental Evaminers at a nevada and a state Board of Dental	
	DATED this 11 day of FERRUAY, 2011.	
•	NEVADASTATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS	
	8 ASTATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS	
9	WILLIAM G. PAPPAS, DDS, PRESIDENT	
10	President-	
12	·	
13		
14	}	_
15	· 1	
16 17	- [
18]	
19	i i	
20		
21 22	•	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	Page 9 of 9	
28	VGC	
FOR MACHINE LILE	DPG	
	VGI 51587v1 11/16/10 V.S.B.D.E /JUL /1 0 2012	
il	"JUL /1 0 2012	\

Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners Profit Loss Budget vs. Actual July 2011 through June 2012 (FY13)

DRAFT

	Ju	l '11 - Jun 12		Budget FY12	Вис	lget FY13 (Dra
Ordinary Income/Expense				:		
Income						
· DENTIST LICENSES & FEES	\$	616,759.10	\$	719,475.00	\$	684,275.0
· DENTAL HYGIENE LICENSES & FEES	\$	209,345.60	\$	242,050.00	\$	244,175.0
· OTHER LICENSES & FEES	\$	39,341.20	\$	105,470.00	\$	55,300.0
· Other Income	\$	4,491.09	\$	2,500.00	\$	2,500.0
Total Income	\$	869,936.99	\$	1,069,495.00	\$	986,250.0
Expense						
Office Expenses	\$	123,487.94	\$	172,350.00	\$	156,700.0
· Exam Expenses	\$	4,934.02	\$	25,600.00	\$	5,300.0
·Personnel Services: Wages/Benefits	\$	424,394.33	\$	521,375.00	\$	501,100.0
· Rent/Lease Expense	\$	52,096.78	\$	98,400.00	\$	81,500.0
· Professional Fees	\$	381,650.55	\$	332,600.00	\$	400,000.0
· Travel	\$	60,519.77	\$	78,500,00	\$	76,500.0
Total Expense	\$	1,047,083.39	\$	1,228,825.00	\$	1,221,100.0
Income	\$	(177,146.40)	\$	(159,330.00)	\$	(234,850.0